

Probing Mode and Site of Substrate Water Binding to the Oxygen-Evolving Complex in the S₂ State of Photosystem II by ¹⁷O-HYSCORE Spectroscopy [Journal of the American Chemical Society 2008, 130, 786–787 DOI: 10.1021/ja076620i]. Ji-Hu Su Wolfgang Lubitz* and Johannes Messinger*

Additional experiments (see Figure 1) have revealed that the HYSCORE signal reported for the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) poised in the S_2 state of spinach BBY samples in the presence of ¹⁷O-water was incorrectly assigned to the coupling of ¹⁷O to the Mn cluster. This signal should instead be assigned to the hyperfine coupling of the axial ¹⁴N ligand of the low-spin Fe^{III} center of oxidized cytochrome b559.¹ We have concluded that



Figure 1. X-band HYSCORE spectra of *T. elongatus* photosystem II core preparations suspended in either buffered $H_2^{16}O$ or $H_2^{17}O$ medium: (A) S₂ state of ¹⁴N-PSII in $H_2^{17}O$ medium, (B) S₁ state of ¹⁵N-PSII in $H_2^{16}O$ medium, and (C) S₂ state of ¹⁵N-PSII in $H_2^{17}O$ medium. The red boxes show the region where the ¹⁷O signal reported in the full article was observed. The S₂ state was generated by 200 K white light illumination for 5 s. All spectra were obtained at the center field of the S₂ multiline EPR spectrum ($B_0 = 335$ mT). Experimental parameters: (A) $\pi/2 = 24$ ns; $\tau = 196$ ns; t_1 , t_2 were varied from 60 to 6720 ns (24 ns steps); shots per point = 50; shot repetition rate = 5 ms. (B,C) $\pi/2 = 6$ ns; $\tau = 196$ ns; t_1 , t_2 were varied from 100 to 3172 ns (24 ns steps); shots per point = 100 (B) and 400 (C); shot repetition rate = 1 ms; temperature = 4.8 K.

cytb559 was partially oxidized during 200 K illumination in samples incubated with $^{17}\mathrm{O}\text{-water},$ but to a lesser extent in the $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ control samples.

Figure 1A shows the X-band HYSCORE spectrum of the OEC of *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* poised in the S₂ state, measured at the center field of the S₂ EPR spectrum. The two sharp peaks previously assigned to the ¹⁷O nucleus of a water-derived ligand to the OEC were observed (left side of panel A). However, they were also seen in the S₁ state and in the absence of ¹⁷O-water (data not shown). This signal must therefore arise from the above-mentioned ¹⁴N couplings in oxidized cytochrome b559 and/or c550.

To exclude the possibility that the ¹⁷O signal seen in the original study (using spinach samples naturally lacking c550) and the cytochrome signal identified above appear in exactly the same spectral position, universal ¹⁵N-labeling was employed. Figure 1B,C shows that the two sharp cytochrome peaks shift as compared to panel A, as expected for ¹⁴N/¹⁵N exchange. No additional signal was observed in the S₂ state spectrum (panel C, red boxes), demonstrating that our original assignment was incorrect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to Alain Boussac (CEA Saclay), Nicholas Cox (MPI Muelheim), Julia Sander, Marc Nowacyzk, and Matthias Rögner (all Ruhr University Bochum) for their help in correcting this assignment.

REFERENCES

(1) Garcia-Rubio, I.; Martinez, J. I.; Picorel, R.; Yruela, I.; Alonso, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15846–15854.

DOI: 10.1021/ja205377n Published on Web 07/15/2011

